the numerical rating system Posted by bankersnephew - 2023/04/06 20:03 _____________________________________ i think it unfair that those who scored 103 points are all #1, yet those scoring @102 are all #45 when they should all be #2. and d == Re:the numerical rating system Posted by JoJo1956 - 2023/04/07 12:03 i didn't write the module script, i presume it would be hard to alter, a study on it's one. it was not meant for a same predictable set best wishes == Re:the numerical rating system Posted by JoJo1956 - 2023/04/07 14:50 _____________________________________ as the number of participants has dramatically dropped over the passed months & years i played around with the numbers & it no Posted by bankersnephew - 2023/04/07 19:47 the only thing that makes sense to me is this. if 44 people share the #1 position, the 45th person with one point less than the win Posted by BobLandy - 2023/04/07 23:32 Iâ€™m fairly sure that the way it works mathematically is that those on 102 points have 44 people ahead of them so are 45th on Hope that helps. Cheers. == Re:the numerical rating system Posted by BobLandy - 2023/04/07 23:44 _____________________________________ A little bit more explanation of the maths. 103 points is the top score, the first on the points list. 102 points is the next to top score However, the list is of individual persons, not points scored. Hence the jump from #1 to #45. Again, I hope that helps. Cheers. == Re:the numerical rating system Posted by JoJo1956 - 2023/04/08 13:55 _____________________________________ i need to check how it can be displayed differenty, it's a mathematical issue i need to resolve by studying the script, no easy task Posted by JoJo1956 - 2023/04/09 16:20 i studied the scripts a little, the rank is written into the database while it might have been able to take it via the points itself. i'm wo

Bob Dylan Message Board - Bob Dylan - The Never Ending Pool FireBoard Forum Component version: 1.0.4 Generated: 13 June, 2026, 15:57